

CORRESPONDENCE FOLLOWING THE COMMITTEE MEETING

Committee	ENVIRONMENTAL SCRUTINY COMMITTEE
Date and Time of Meeting	TUESDAY, 15 MARCH 2016, 4.00 PM

Please find below correspondence send by the Committee Chair following the meeting, together with any responses received.

For any further details, please contact scrutinyviewpoints@cardiff.gov.uk

8 Correspondence Following the Committee Meeting (Pages 1 - 26)



Recommendation 2 – Implementation of Systems & Technology

Whatever the alternative delivery option chosen by the Council, the new model has to introduce new technology and systems to improve efficiency and working practice, for example, fleet management systems, mobile scheduling systems and customer management systems.

All of the best performing providers from across the range of alternative delivery models invest in established third party systems and technology as they improve working practice, improve efficiency and make financial reporting and performance monitoring much quicker and easier. Once the new systems are implemented management needs to ensure that the new technology is properly used.

If the Council decides not to work with a third party partner who has immediate access to the required systems and technology then it needs to allocate funding and a sufficient timescale to implement the new systems and technology; this should factor in procurement timescales and implementation period. If the Council is serious about delivering commercially competitive services then it cannot afford to rely on primitive spreadsheets and slow financial reporting procedures.

Given the urgency and short timescales 'bespoke systems' must be avoided completely as they are expensive and difficult to amend quickly and accurately. They will consume officer time collating errors and reports for the supplier with no guarantee of success.

Response – this recommendation is accepted.

The importance of introducing new technology and systems to improve efficiency and working practice is recognised. Initial cost estimates for appropriate systems have been made and included within the high level financial modelling work undertaken as part of the as part of the Outline Business Case. These are currently being firmed up and delivery of the new systems will commence at the earliest opportunity. It is intended that 'off-the-shelf' systems be used wherever possible and appropriate but it is recognised that work will be required to ensure effective integration with both existing and other new systems.



Ref: RDB/PM/BD/15.03.16

11 April 2016

Councillor Bob Derbyshire,
Cabinet Member for the Environment,
County Hall,
Atlantic Wharf,
Cardiff,
CF10 4UW.



Dear Councillor Derbyshire,

Environmental Scrutiny Committee – 15 March 2016

On behalf of the Environmental and Policy Review & Performance Scrutiny Committees' I would like to thank the officers for attending the Committee meeting on Tuesday 15 March 2016. As you are aware the meeting considered items titled 'Infrastructure Services – Full Business Case Strategy Briefing' and 'City Operations Directorate – Performance Report Quarter 3 – 2015/16'. The comments and observations made by Members following this item are set out in this letter.

Infrastructure Services – Full Business Case Strategy Briefing

• Collaboration - The documents provided for the scrutiny of the 'Infrastructure Services – Full Business Case Strategy' made several references to collaboration. Officers provided a brief explanation on what this could mean and confirmed that very high level discussions had taken place with senior officers from neighbouring authorities. I would be grateful if you could detail the collaboration opportunities which have been identified during the project and explain any actions which have taken place to develop these. Further to this the Committee would like to understand the impact that Welsh local authority reorganisation might have on both of the potential new business models; for example, the consequences of a potential merger with the Vale of Glamorgan after the creation on an wholly owned arms length company.

- ICT During the meeting much emphasis was placed on the importance of introducing modern and relevant ICT into many of the services within the scope of the Infrastructure Services Full Business Case. In particular the urgency of implementation was stressed as it appears that during the period of the project very little progress has been achieved. Discussion during the way forward concluded that purchasing successfully established off the shelf packages was a far better approach than developing our own in house systems. To add support to this I would like to re echo Recommendation 2 of the task group report titled 'Infrastructure Business Model & Alternative Delivery Options' which along with the Cabinet response is attached to this report as Appendix 1. The Committee still supports the message of Recommendation 2.
- Central Transport Services ICT When discussing implementation of
 ICT into the services within the scope of the Infrastructure Services Full
 Business Case reference was made to the difficulties experienced by
 Central Transport Services. Despite a two to three year exercise to
 develop an in house solution it now appears that the Council is in the final
 stages of commissioning an industry recognised fleet management
 software package. The Assistant Director for City Operations explained
 that a new fleet management package would be approved within weeks;
 therefore, I would be grateful if you could:
 - Provide the Committee with a timeline for completing the procurement exercise for the new fleet management system along with a forecast for full implementation;
 - An explanation of why after such a long period of development the Council has decided to abandon the creation of an in house fleet management system given the poor control of accounts and detrimental impacts this has had on other department budgets for many years.
- Governance Members note the scrutiny undertaken by the Policy
 Review & Performance Scrutiny Committee in December 2015 on the
 'Alternative Delivery Model Infrastructure Services Building a successful

local authority trading company – Governance Options'. They are keen to ensure that governance arrangements are presented alongside the preferred option when it is presented for pre decision scrutiny in May. In addition to this they would like to stress the importance of building in the need for accountability and business control into future governance arrangements, i.e. individuals are made accountable for service delivery and that key service goals and controls are identified and built into the new contract / service specification.

- Commercial Internal Directorate During the meeting the Trade Union representatives for the GMB, Unite and UCATT put forward the proposal of a 'Commercial Internal Directorate'; this would remain within the Council and contain a range of services which would be managed with the aim of generating income. At the meeting they asked the Committee to consider the 'Commercial Internal Directorate' proposals, therefore, I have written to them individually asking that these are made available to Members in advance of scrutiny of the Infrastructure Services – Full Business Case on the 12th May 2016. In addition to this I have asked that they clarify any assumptions made as a part of the Infrastructure Services – Full Business Case that they do not agree with; again the same timescale applies. Members feel that it is important to consider all suggestions and view points before the Council takes such a significant decision. I will make sure that you are provided with copies of any documentation submitted by the Trade Unions on the 'Commercial Internal Directorate' in advance of the meeting.
- Income It was noted during the meeting that a Teckal based wholly owned arms length company would only be able to generate 20% of its overall turnover from external sources and that internal services currently within the scope of the Infrastructure Services Full Business Case are currently generating 13% of turnover from external sources. Members felt that the 7% scope for additional externally based turnover was limited and were keen to understand actual value that could be achieved by delivering

the additional 7%. To assist in preparation for the joint scrutiny meeting on the 12 May it help if you could:

- Clarify the actual value that could be achieved by delivering the additional 7% of turnover in a Teckal based wholly owned arms length company;
- Clarify if it is legally possible to achieve an additional 7% in external turnover through the modified in house option;
- Clarify if there are any mechanisms which can be used to legally generate more than 20% in external turnover through a modified in house company;
- Provide Members with any business plans which have been created during project to deliver new business through both the wholly owned arms length company and modified in house options. Sight of any detailed business proposals in advance of the 12 May scrutiny would provide a clearer opportunity of the possibilities surrounding both options.
- Body Cameras Members note that a request was made by Waste
 Enforcement Officers for body cameras these it was felt would provide
 additional protection while carrying out what can sometimes be
 confrontational work. A trade union representative explained that this
 request had been rejected; therefore, I would be grateful if you could
 confirm why this request was turned down.

City Operations Directorate – Performance Report Qtr 3 – 2015/16

• Members note that WMT/009b (the percentage of municipal waste collected by local authorities and prepared for reuse and/or recycled, including source segregated biowastes that are composted or treated biologically in another way) is still rated as 'Amber' despite officers being confident of reaching the 58% statutory target for 2015/16. They accept that this important performance indicator has to remain an 'Amber' risk until the target is met and acknowledge the delay caused by verification of the actual result. They hope that the officers confident outlook is well

placed and will continue to closely monitor the outcome of this statutory performance indicator.

- The overall Council total (Head Count) of staff eligible for PPDR completion reduced from 6,230 at the end of Quarter 2 to 5,792 at the end of Quarter 3; this was a reduction of 438 staff eligible for a PPDR. During this period City Operations reduced from 1,387 at the end of Quarter 2 to 1,328 at the end of Quarter 3; a reduction of 59 staff eligible for a PPDR. I would be grateful if you could clarify the reason for this reduction in staff eligible for PPDR.
- Some of the Members were concerned about litter levels in Cardiff. I
 would be grateful if you could provide the Committee with LEAMS results
 for all of the Cardiff wards for 2014/15 and 2015/16.
- Members note that when the City Operations Quarter 3 Performance report mentions Household Waste Recycling Centres it states that:

'Phase 2; Charging for non-residents commenced on the 2nd Jan 2016, potential North Cardiff sites have been identified, the delay in the decision due the Call-in has resulted in the project running approx. 6 months behind the original schedule, the project is running on track for the revised schedule'.

I would be grateful if you could explain how an item which was initially received at Cabinet on the 16 July; Called in on the 20 July; subject to the actual Call-in on the 26 August and reconsidered by Cabinet with a recommendation to take the project forward on the 1 October could create a six month delay. By my calculation this period covers 76 days which is significantly shorter than the six months (approximately 180 days) mentioned and cannot be ascribed wholly to a Call-in in my view.

I would be grateful if you would consider the above comments and provide a response to the requests made in this letter.

Regards,



Councillor Paul Mitchell

Chairperson Environmental Scrutiny Committee

Cc to:

Councillor Bob Derbyshire, Cabinet Member for the Environment Councillor Nigel Howells, Chair of the Policy Review & Performance Scrutiny Committee

Andrew Gregory, Director of City Operations

Tara King, Assistant Director of City Operations

David Lowe, Waste Operations Manager

Paul Keeping, Operational Manager, Scrutiny Services

David Marr, Interim Monitoring Officer

Angie Shiels, GMB

Robert Collins, UCATT

Mohamed Hassan, UNISON

Ian Titherington, UNISON

Harris Karim, UNITE

Jim Pates, UNITE

Thomas Watkins, UNITE

Members of the Environmental Scrutiny Committee

Ref: RDB/PM/RP/15.03.16

11 April 2016

Councillor Ramesh Patel,
Cabinet Member for Transport, Planning & Sustainability,
County Hall,
Atlantic Wharf,
Cardiff,
CF10 4UW.



Dear Councillor Patel,

Environmental Scrutiny Committee – 15 March 2016

On behalf of the Environmental Scrutiny Committee I would like to thank the officers for attending the Committee meeting on Tuesday 15 March 2016. As you are aware the meeting considered an item titled 'City Operations – Quarter 3 Performance'. The comments and observations made by Members following this item are set out in this letter.

City Operations – Quarter 3 Performance

- Members note the red and amber R.A.G. status of PLA/004 (a) (% of major planning applications determined during the year within 13 weeks) and PLA/004 (c) (% of householder planning applications determined during the year within 8 weeks) respectively. They acknowledge the reasons provided for the poor performance of these indicators and (as suggested) hope to see improvements in Quarter 4 2015/16 and Quarter 1 2016/17.
- At the meeting a Member asked for details of the fines issued for unauthorised use of scaffolding, skips and portaloos. In addition to this fine information was requested on the dumping of waste on the public highway. I would be grateful if you could provide this information for 2014/15 and 2015/16.

- Members note that the Council is looking to implement a new 'Highways
 Asset Investment Strategy' in 2016/17. They look forward to scrutinising
 details of this proposal before it is received at Cabinet.
- The overall Council total (Head Count) of staff eligible for PPDR completion reduced from 6,230 at the end of Quarter 2 to 5,792 at the end of Quarter 3; this was a reduction of 438 staff eligible for a PPDR. During this period City Operations reduced from 1,387 at the end of Quarter 2 to 1,328 at the end of Quarter 3; a reduction of 59 staff eligible for a PPDR. I would be grateful if you could clarify the reason for this reduction in staff eligible for PPDR.

I would be grateful if you would consider the above comments and provide a response to the requests made in this letter.

Regards,

Y. V. Mitchell

Councillor Paul Mitchell

Chairperson Environmental Scrutiny Committee

Cc to:

Andrew Gregory, Director for City Operations

Tara King, Assistant Director for City Operations

Matthew Wakelam - Operational Manager, Infrastructure & Operations

James Clemence – Head of Planning

Simon Gilbert – Operational Manager, Development Management (Strategic

& Place Making)

Paul Keeping, Operational Manager, Scrutiny Services

Joanne Watkins, Cabinet Office Manager

Members of the Environmental Scrutiny Committee

Councillor Michael Michael, Chair of Cardiff's Planning Committee

Ref: RDB/PM/KD/15.03.16

11 April 2016

Mr Ken Daniels, GMB Branch Secretary, County Hall, Atlantic Wharf, Cardiff, CF10 4UW.



Dear Ken,

<u>Environmental Scrutiny Committee – Infrastructure Services – Full</u> <u>Business Case Strategy Briefing - 15 March 2016</u>

On behalf of Members of the Environmental and Policy Review & Performance Scrutiny Committees' I would like to thank you and the other trade union representatives for attending the Committee meeting on Tuesday 15 March 2016. As you are aware you were invited to make a statement on the 'Infrastructure Services – Full Business Case Strategy Briefing' which was considered at the meeting. This letter provides you with the Member feedback following the meeting.

- Members would like to thank you and the other trade union representatives for your attendance at the meeting. They believe that the trade unions have a crucial part to play in identifying the best way forward for Infrastructure Services in Cardiff and welcome the comments which you made at the meeting.
- During the meeting you suggested that the Council should create a 'Commercial Internal Directorate' to maximise internal and external trading opportunities. You continued by urging the Committee and Council to consider the 'Commercial Internal Directorate' proposals put forward by the trade unions as a real alternative to the other options currently being assessed. As Members are keen to explore all possible solutions I would be grateful if you could send me a copy of the trade union 'Commercial Internal Directorate' proposals so that these can be

circulated in advance of the Infrastructure Services - Full Business Case scrutiny on the 12 May 2016.

- At the meeting you stated that you had concerns about some of the
 assumptions being applied against analysis of the Infrastructure Services
 Full Business Case. I would be grateful if you could confirm these
 assumption concerns in writing in advance of the Infrastructure Services Full Business Case scrutiny on the 12 May 2016.
- Members note the concern of the trade unions around the delay in implementing new technology to improve service delivery. They also agree with the trade union view that financial pressures mean that change has to happen very quickly.

I would be grateful if you would consider the above comments and provide a response to the requests made in this letter as soon as possible.

Regards,

Councillor Paul Mitchell

Chairperson Environmental Scrutiny Committee

Cc to:

Councillor Bob Derbyshire, Cabinet Member for the Environment Councillor Nigel Howells, Chair of the Policy Review & Performance Scrutiny Committee

Andrew Gregory, Director of City Operations
Tara King, Assistant Director of City Operations
David Lowe, Waste Operations Manager
Paul Keeping, Operational Manager, Scrutiny Services
David Marr, Interim Monitoring Officer

Angie Shiels, GMB Robert Collins, UCATT

Mohamed Hassan, UNISON

Ian Titherington, UNISON

Harris Karim, UNITE

Jim Pates, UNITE

Thomas Watkins, UNITE

Members of the Environmental Scrutiny Committee



Ref: RDB/PM/RC/15.03.16

11 April 2016

Mr Robert Collins, UCATT, 1st Floor, CTS Building, Coleridge Road, Cardiff, CF11 8BT.



Dear Robert,

<u>Environmental Scrutiny Committee – Infrastructure Services – Full</u> <u>Business Case Strategy Briefing - 15 March 2016</u>

On behalf of Members of the Environmental and Policy Review & Performance Scrutiny Committees' I would like to thank you and the other trade union representatives for attending the Committee meeting on Tuesday 15 March 2016. As you are aware you were invited to make a statement on the 'Infrastructure Services – Full Business Case Strategy Briefing' which was considered at the meeting. This letter provides you with the Member feedback following the meeting.

- Members would like to thank you and the other trade union representatives for your attendance at the meeting. They believe that the trade unions have a crucial part to play in identifying the best way forward for Infrastructure Services in Cardiff and welcome the comments which you made at the meeting.
- During the meeting Mr Ken Daniels representing the GMB suggested that the Council should create a 'Commercial Internal Directorate' to maximise internal and external trading opportunities. On behalf of UCATT you supported this approach and agreed with the GMB that it was an option worthy of further consideration. As Members are keen to explore all possible solutions for Infrastructure Services I am asking the trade unions to submit any proposals that they may have for the creation of a

'Commercial Internal Directorate'. Any proposals received before the Infrastructure Services scrutiny meeting on the 12 May will be circulated to Members for their consideration.

- At the meeting some trade union representatives stated that they had concerns about some of the assumptions being used in the analysis of the Infrastructure Services Full Business Case. Should you have concern over any of the assumptions being used I would be grateful if you could let me know in writing in advance of the Infrastructure Services Full Business Case scrutiny on the 12 May 2016.
- Members note the concern of the trade unions around the delay in implementing new technology to improve service delivery. They also agree with the trade union view that financial pressures mean that change has to happen very quickly.

I would be grateful if you would consider the above comments and provide a response to the requests made in this letter as soon as possible.

Regards,

Councillor Paul Mitchell

Chairperson Environmental Scrutiny Committee

Cc to:

Councillor Bob Derbyshire, Cabinet Member for the Environment Councillor Nigel Howells, Chair of the Policy Review & Performance Scrutiny Committee

Andrew Gregory, Director of City Operations

Tara King, Assistant Director of City Operations

David Lowe, Waste Operations Manager

Paul Keeping, Operational Manager, Scrutiny Services

David Marr, Interim Monitoring Officer

Angie Shiels, GMB

Ken Daniels, GMB

Mohamed Hassan, UNISON

Ian Titherington, UNISON

Harris Karim, UNITE

Jim Pates, UNITE

Thomas Watkins, UNITE

Members of the Environmental Scrutiny Committee



Ref: RDB/PM/MH/15.03.16

11 April 2016

Mr Mohamed Hassan, Joint Branch Secretary, c/o Unison Office, Room 104, County Hall, Atlantic Wharf, Cardiff, CF10 4UW.



Dear Mohamed,

<u>Environmental Scrutiny Committee – Infrastructure Services – Full</u> <u>Business Case Strategy Briefing - 15 March 2016</u>

On behalf of Members of the Environmental and Policy Review & Performance Scrutiny Committees' I would like to thank you and the other trade union representatives for attending the Committee meeting on Tuesday 15 March 2016. As you are aware you were invited to make a statement on the 'Infrastructure Services – Full Business Case Strategy Briefing' which was considered at the meeting. This letter provides you with the Member feedback following the meeting.

- Members would like to thank you and the other trade union representatives for your attendance at the meeting. They believe that the trade unions have a crucial part to play in identifying the best way forward for Infrastructure Services in Cardiff and welcome the comments which you made at the meeting.
- During the meeting Mr Ken Daniels representing the GMB suggested that
 the Council should create a 'Commercial Internal Directorate' to maximise
 internal and external trading opportunities. Members are keen to explore
 all possible solutions for Infrastructure Services, therefore, I am asking the
 trade unions to submit any alternative proposals to those currently being
 considered for the Infrastructure Services Full Business Case, for

example, the creation of a 'Commercial Internal Directorate'. Any proposals received before the Infrastructure Services scrutiny meeting on the 12 May will be circulated to Members for their consideration.

- At the meeting some trade union representatives stated that they had
 concerns about some of the assumptions being used in the analysis of the
 Infrastructure Services Full Business Case. Should you have concern
 over any of the assumptions being used I would be grateful if you could let
 me know in writing in advance of the Infrastructure Services Full
 Business Case scrutiny on the 12 May 2016.
- Members note the concern of the trade unions around the delay in implementing new technology to improve service delivery. They also agree with the trade union view that financial pressures mean that change has to happen very quickly.

I would be grateful if you would consider the above comments and provide a response to the requests made in this letter as soon as possible.

Regards,

Councillor Paul Mitchell

P. D. Mitchell

Chairperson Environmental Scrutiny Committee

Cc to:

Councillor Bob Derbyshire, Cabinet Member for the Environment Councillor Nigel Howells, Chair of the Policy Review & Performance Scrutiny Committee

Andrew Gregory, Director of City Operations

Tara King, Assistant Director of City Operations

David Lowe, Waste Operations Manager

Paul Keeping, Operational Manager, Scrutiny Services

David Marr, Interim Monitoring Officer

Ken Daniels, GMB

Angie Shiels, GMB

Robert Collins, UCATT

Ian Titherington, UNISON

Harris Karim, UNITE

Thomas Watkins, UNITE

Members of the Environmental Scrutiny Committee



Ref: RDB/PM/JP/15.03.16

11 April 2016

Mr Jim Pates, UNITE, c/o Room 107, County Hall, Atlantic Wharf, Cardiff, CF10 4UW.



Dear Jim,

<u>Environmental Scrutiny Committee – Infrastructure Services – Full</u> <u>Business Case Strategy Briefing - 15 March 2016</u>

On behalf of Members of the Environmental and Policy Review & Performance Scrutiny Committees' I would like to thank you and the other trade union representatives for attending the Committee meeting on Tuesday 15 March 2016. As you are aware you were invited to make a statement on the 'Infrastructure Services – Full Business Case Strategy Briefing' which was considered at the meeting. This letter provides you with the Member feedback following the meeting.

- Members would like to thank you and the other trade union representatives for your attendance at the meeting. They believe that the trade unions have a crucial part to play in identifying the best way forward for Infrastructure Services in Cardiff and welcome the comments which you made at the meeting.
- During the meeting Mr Ken Daniels representing the GMB suggested that the Council should create a 'Commercial Internal Directorate' to maximise internal and external trading opportunities. On behalf of UNITE you supported this approach and agreed with the GMB that it was an option worthy of further consideration. As Members are keen to explore all possible solutions for Infrastructure Services I am asking the trade unions to submit any proposals that they may have for the creation of a

'Commercial Internal Directorate'. Any proposals received before the Infrastructure Services scrutiny meeting on the 12 May will be circulated to Members for their consideration.

- At the meeting some trade union representatives stated that they had concerns about some of the assumptions being used in the analysis of the Infrastructure Services Full Business Case. Should you have concern over any of the assumptions being used I would be grateful if you could let me know in writing in advance of the Infrastructure Services Full Business Case scrutiny on the 12 May 2016.
- Members note the concern of the trade unions around the delay in implementing new technology to improve service delivery. They also agree with the trade union view that financial pressures mean that change has to happen very quickly.

I would be grateful if you would consider the above comments and provide a response to the requests made in this letter as soon as possible.

Regards,

Councillor Paul Mitchell

Chairperson Environmental Scrutiny Committee

Cc to:

Councillor Bob Derbyshire, Cabinet Member for the Environment Councillor Nigel Howells, Chair of the Policy Review & Performance Scrutiny Committee

Andrew Gregory, Director of City Operations

Tara King, Assistant Director of City Operations

David Lowe, Waste Operations Manager

Paul Keeping, Operational Manager, Scrutiny Services

David Marr, Interim Monitoring Officer

Ken Daniels, GMB

Angie Shiels, GMB

Robert Collins, UCATT

Mohamed Hassan, UNISON

Ian Titherington, UNISON

Harris Karim, UNITE

Thomas Watkins, UNITE

Members of the Environmental Scrutiny Committee

